

Revere High School Site Selection Sub-Committee
Webinar Meeting

<https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/472859917>

Dial-In: 872-240-3412

Meeting Access Code: 472-859-917

Password: dsp125

Mayor Brian Arrigo Donald Ciramella John Perella
Nicholas Rystrom Carl Svendsen

Meeting Minutes for May 24, 2021

A scheduled meeting of the High School Site Selection Sub-Committee was held on May 24, 2021, at 1:00pm, online via GoTo Meeting format. Committee members and attendees present were:

<u>Sub-Committee Member</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Brian Arrigo		X	
Donald Ciramella	X		
John Perella	X		
Nicholas Rystrom	X		
Carl Svendsen		X	
<u>Project Team Members</u>			
Brian Dakin	X		Leftfield-Project Manager
Linda Liporto			Leftfield-Project Manager
James Riefstahl	X		Leftfield - Project Director
Lynn Stapleton	X		Leftfield-Project Executive
Robert Bell			Perkins Eastman-Principal-Educational Programming
Daniel Colli			Perkins Eastman-Principal-Project Manager
Joe Drown			Perkins Eastman-Principal In Charge
Dawn Guarriello			Perkins Eastman-Associate Principal-Designer

Attendees: Joseph Lewin.

1– Call Meeting to Order

Meeting was called to order by Brian Dakin at 1:02pm.

2– Site Options Progress Update

The group discussed whether or not the old movie theater site was definitely not an option. Brian Dakin mentioned that he would investigate if Amazon, who purchased the site last year, was going to move forward with a project at the location. The whole group agreed that it would be a site to research as an option if it happened to be available.

Joseph Lewin asked the project team if they could produce some schedule information for those site options, which involved eminent domain, Article 97, and affordable housing development. He is particularly looking for some short, long, and average timelines for each of those three situations. He

also asked for statistics on probability of success for each situation. He noted that he is curious of the risks associated with project options that are dependent on these events. Brian Dakin responded that the Article 97 process would most likely be the most simple and best rate of success out of the three mentioned previously. He said that the other options bring more risks and would most likely be a longer process. He felt that the housing relocation event would be hands down the riskiest option. He concluded that there would be more detail presented to the Sub-Committee to highlight these options and their associated risks in upcoming meetings.

2.1 – Determination of Viability of Furlong Drive Site for Final PDP Scoring

Brian Dakin asked the Sub-Committee what their general thoughts were on the viability of the Furlong Drive site and if they would consider recommending removing it is a location option for the project or not. John Perella responded that he did not find the location of the site to be very appealing for the High School project but did not rule it out. Nicholas Rystrom followed-up by saying that there are pros and cons to the Furlong Drive site. However, he did not think the cons of the site justify not continuing to consider it further. Brian Dakin and Nicholas Rystrom briefly discussed additional traffic measures that would be necessary if the footprint of the Furlong Drive site needed to be extended onto part of Revere Beach Parkway. Brian Dakin noted that since the site would continue to be an option, there would be further research done with the Perkins Eastman team on this site, particularly gaining road access from the north side of the site.

3 – Discussion Related to Site Permitting

Brian Dakin said that the discussion related to site permitting would most likely be had at the meeting two weeks after this meeting.

4- New Business

No new business noted.

5- Vote to Adjourn Meeting

The meeting was adjourned at 1:44PM.